Efficacy of Certain Common Ferns against Red Spider Mite Oligonychus coffeae and Tea Mosquito Bug Helopeltis theivora Infesting Tea

PANDIAN PRABHAKARAN*, BALAKRISHNAN RADHAKRISHNAN, KODAKKADAL KOTIAN SRIKUMAR and BASTIAN SURESH KUMAR

Entomology Division, UPASI Tea Research Foundation, Tea Research Institute,
Nirar Dam BPO, Valparai, Tamil Nadu, India
*Corresponding author: prabhakarentl@gmail.com

Abstract

Prabhakaran P., Radhakrishnan B., Srikumar K.K., Suresh Kumar B. (2017): Efficacy of certain common ferns against red spider mite *Oligonychus coffeae* and tea mosquito bug *Helopeltis theivora* infesting tea. Plant Protect Sci., 53: 232–242.

In search for botanicals as an alternative remedy to synthetic chemicals in the pest control of tea plantations, ferns such as *Adiantum raddianum*, *Asplenium aethiopicum*, *Cyclosorus interruptus*, *Dicranopteris linearis*, *Diplazium polypodioides*, and *Pteridium aquilinum* were evaluated against the two major pests of tea, red spider mite *Oligonychus coffeae* Nietner and tea mosquito bug *Helopeltis theivora* Waterhouse, which are the foremost seasonal pests in tea fields, causing severe crop loss. Apart from synthetic chemicals, only the neem kernel extract is recommended in tea fields. The aqueous extracts of ferns were screened at different concentrations against these pests under laboratory and field conditions. The extracts of *P. aquilinum* and *D. linearis* showed good contact toxicity at a 5% concentration to *O. coffeae*. The acaricidal activity was observed in the order *P. aquilinum* > *D. linearis* > *C. interruptus* > *A. raddianum* > *D. polypodioides* > *A. aethiopicum*. Under field conditions, the extract of *D. linearis* and *P. aquilinum* showed a 50% reduction in the population of red spider mite and caused no phytotoxic effect to tea leaves. But their insecticidal activity was less pronounced against *H. theivora*. They exhibit antifeedant activity, which was sustained only for 24 hours. The photochemical screening of extracts showed a qualitatively increased level of saponins in *P. aquilinum*, *D. linearis*, and *C. interruptus*. The study shows that the aqueous extracts of *D. linearis* and *P. aquilinum* can be incorporated in the mite control programme in tea.

Keywords: botanicals; aqueous extracts; acaricidal activity; insecticidal activity

Tea occupies a major position among various plantation crops. It is grown in 50 different countries and the prime producers are India, Sri Lanka, China, Japan, Kenya, Malawi, Uganda, Georgia, Turkey, Iran and Argentina (HAZARIKA *et al.* 2009). Globally, tea cultivation doubled from 1.37 mil to 3.012 mil. ha in 1961–2009 (YE *et al.* 2014). In India *Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntze (Fam: Theaceae) has been under cultivation for the past 100 years in 16 states covering an area of 579.35 thousand hectares occupy-

ing the second place in area and production next to China. More than 300 different types of pests were reported causing damage to various parts of the plant. It was estimated that 1034 species of arthropods and 82 species of nematodes infest tea plants (Chen & Chen 1989). Among the arthropod pests, Lepidoptera is the largest order comprising 32% of the pest species followed by Hemiptera with 27% (Muraleedharan 1991). Pest damage in tea often leads to a significant impact on productivity, both in

Supported by the National Tea Research Foundation, Kolkata, India, Grant No. NTRF: 146/2010.

the short and long term. It can result in almost total debilitation and thus in erosion of the capital assets (Radhakrishnan 2004). Annual crop losses in tea due to pests account for 8% of the production. In South Indian tea plantations the most serious pests of utmost importance are red spider mite (RSM), Oligonychus coffeae Nietner (Tetranychidae: Acarina), and tea mosquito bug Helopeltis theivora Waterhouse (Miridae: Hemiptera).

Red spider mite and tea mosquito bug are seasonal pests. The former occurs during summer months and the latter during rainy winter. RSM is a polyphagous pest that feeds on coffee, rubber, indigo, grape, cashew nut, citrus, mango, Camella, camphor, mulberry, oil palm, and many other tropical plants (JEPPSON et al. 1975). It normally infests the upper surface of mature tea leaves, feeds along the midrib and veins and gradually spreads to the entire surface of the leaf, thereby changes the colour of the leaf to ruddy bronze. In severe infestation it damages the younger and older leaves and ultimately leads to defoliation and debilitation of the tea bush causing a crop loss of 14–18% (RADHAKRISHNAN 2004). Both adults and nymphs of TMB suck the juice of young leaves and shoots with their needle-like rostrum. They cause drying up of shoots by their feeding behaviour and oviposition, which leads to severe crop losses ranging from 20% to 100% (Muraleedharan et al. 2001).

Several synthetic chemicals are under usage to control these pests in tea plantations. Due to various environmental concerns, there is an urge to find out the biopesticidal property from plants. The usage of substances from native plants in the form of oils, extracts from leaf, root, fruit, and seed has been evaluated since ages. Plants produce various bioactive compounds in the form of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, glycosides, flavonoids, tannins, saponins for their survival against pests and diseases and also to attract the insects for their pollination. Different types of plant preparations such as powders, solvent extracts, essential oil, and whole plants are being investigated for their insecticidal activity including their action as fumigants, repellents, antifeedants, anti-oviposition and insect growth regulators (ISMAN 2000).

In India, so far 12 biopesticides have been registered under Central Insecticides Board, India comprising 80% of the neem formulation against various agricultural crops. In tea, only a neem kernel aqueous extract (NKAE) is registered for the control of RSM. In organically cultivated tea gardens of South

India NKAE paraffinic oil and sulphur are the two recommended safe acaricides for the control of RSM and no other formulation is available for the tea mosquito bug. Despite increasing reports on the evaluation of medicinal plants in crop protection, only few are getting formulated and evaluated in laboratory, greenhouse, and field conditions and get approval for recommendation in plantation. Many plant products and their components of angiosperms are evaluated from time to time against various tea pests and diseases (ROOBAKKUMAR et al. 2010; ROY & Mukhopadhyaya 2012; Vasanthakumar et al. 2013). As a new approach, ferns were selected for study. They are a primitive group of vascular plants in which their existence dates back 350 million years ago. They were among the oldest herbs distributed widely in tropics. In India, about 800 fern species were reported and represented mostly from Western Ghats and Himalayas. Although 9300 species of insects were reported to use ferns as a food source (CROOPER-DRIVER 1978), the insecticidal activity of ferns was reported by various authors (Jones & Firn 1978; Kubo et al. 1983; Rajendran & Reuben 1991; Amer & Mehlhorn 2006; Shukla & Tiwari 2011) and hitherto there is no report against tea pests.

The objective of the study focused on the evaluation of aqueous extracts of commonly available ferns in and around tea plantations on their lethal and sublethal dose against two important arthropod pests in tea *O. coffeae* and *H. theivora* under laboratory conditions. Considering the results of laboratory efficacy, the extracts were also evaluated under field conditions by adopting simple handling protocols for the benefit of planters.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials. The ferns (Table 1) were collected from different areas of tea fields in Valparai (latitude: 10°22'12"N and longitude: 76°58'12"E, 1050 m a.s.l.), Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu, India. The plant materials were washed three times in tap water and shade dried for two weeks. As the leaflets turned slightly brown, they were removed separately leaving their stalk apart. They were crushed using a laboratory blender (Remi Brand, Mumbai, India) and then sieved through a mesh size of 3 mm and the coarse material was removed. The fine powder was packed and labelled in an airtight polypropylene container and stored for further studies.

Table 1. List of ferns selected for the study

Sample No.	Name of the ferns	Common name	Family	Parts used	Month of collection (2013)
1	Adiantum raddianum C.Presl	Maidenhair fern	Adiantaceae		Sept
2	Asplenium aethiopicum (Burm.) Bech.	_	Aspteriaceae		Sept
3	Cyclosorus interruptus (Wild.) H.Itô	_	Thelypetridaceae	leaflets	Oct
4	Dicranopteris linearis (Brum.f.) Underw.	Forked fern	Gleichniaceae	leaf	Oct
5	Diplazium polypodioides Blume	_	Athyriaceae		Oct
6	Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn	Bracken fern	Dennstaedticae		Sept

Preparation of aqueous extracts. The aqueous extract was prepared by adopting an infusion method. In laboratory evaluation 25 g of powdered plant material was taken in a 250 ml conical flask having 100 ml of distilled water. The set up was kept overnight (12 h) in a mechanical shaker for complete extraction. Entire contents were filtered using a double folded muslin cloth and the pure extract was collected. The filtrate was a 25% extract, which was then labelled and kept as a stock solution. The required concentrate was prepared from the stock solution.

In a field study, 1 kg of plant powder was soaked in 5 l of tap water for 24 hours. The solution was filtered out with muslin cloth the next day and labelled as a stock solution. The spray solution of required concentration was prepared by diluting the stock solution.

Laboratory evaluation of fern aqueous extracts

Red spider mite - The adult mites of O. coffeae were obtained from a laboratory culture maintained in an incubator at 25 ± 2 °C, 70-75% RH and 12L/12D photoperiod. The assay was carried out by the leaf disc method (Siegler 1947; Ebeling & Pence 1953). Leaf discs were prepared from mother leaves of the TRI 2043 clone from UPASI TRF experimental farm. Five leaf discs of 2 cm in diameter were prepared and placed with its ventral surface down over the wet cotton put in a Petri plate (9 cm diameter) and each disc represented a replicate. Ten adult unsexed mites were released on each disc with a spotting brush and allowed to settle. The aqueous extracts of ferns were sprayed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5% (w/v) concentrations using a glass atomiser (with constant pressure 2.5 g/cm²; spray deposition of $4.75 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/cm}^2$) at a distance of one foot from the plate to ensure the fine spread of droplets.

The chemicals recommended for the control of red spider mite by Central Insecticides Board, India such as propargite 0.12% (Omite 57 EC 0.57 g a.i./ml), paraffinic oil (Tea spray oil®) 0.34% and NKAE 5%

concentrations were kept as standards. The deionised water sprayed discs served as negative control. Observations were carried out after treatment at every 24 h interval for four days. The mites that did not show any response to the touch with brush were recorded as dead and the cotton trapped ones were not considered for analysis either.

Tea mosquito bug – The efficacy of fern extracts was also evaluated against unsexed adults of tea mosquito bug, *H. theivora*, obtained from a laboratory colony. Fresh shoots were collected from the unsprayed tea field. Seven shoots (3 leaves and buds) were taken in small bottles of 20 ml capacity having water inside them by wrapping with absorbent cotton to avoid drying of shoots. The aqueous extracts of ferns were evaluated at different concentrations (w/v) like in mites and the shoots were kept inside the transparent plastic jars of 5 l capacity. Ten adults of *H. theivora* were released inside the jars. The extracts were sprayed using a glass atomiser (with constant pressure 2.5 g/cm²; spray deposition of $4.75 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/cm}^2$). The mouth of the jar was covered with nylon mesh immediately after spraying to avoid the escape of adults.

Five replicates were maintained for each treatment and deionised water sprayed leaves served as control. The recommended chemical Ekalux (Quinalphos 25 EC 0.25 g a.i/ml) at 0.22 % was kept as positive control. Their mortality rate was assessed at 24-h interval for four days (96 h). The number of feeding punctures in the leaves was also counted and recorded during the study. The antifeedant index was calculated for each treatment compared with the control by adopting the formula of ISMAN *et al.* (1990): Antifeedant index = $(C - T/C + T) \times 100$; where C - number of feeding punctures in the control; T - number of feeding punctures in a treatment.

Phytochemical screening

The aqueous plant extracts were screened for the presence of saponins, alkaloids, tannins, and phenols

qualitatively following the methods of Harborne (1973) and Trease and Evans (1989).

- (a) Test for saponins Foam test: a drop of 1% sodium carbonate was added into a test tube containing about 5 ml of the aqueous extract. The mixture was shaken vigorously and kept for 3 minutes. Formation of honeycomb-like froth showed the presence of saponins.
- (b) Test for phenols Ferric chloride test: to 1 ml of the alcoholic solution of a sample, 2 ml of distilled water followed by a few drops of neutral 10% ferric chloride solution were added. Formation of blue or dark green colour indicated the presence of phenols.
- (c) Test for tannins Lead acetate test: to 5 ml of the extract, a few drops of 1% lead acetate solution were added. Formation of a yellow or red precipitate indicated the presence of tannins.
- (d) Test for alkaloids Dragendorff's test: about 10 g of the air-dried powder of the plant were extracted with 50 ml of diluted hydrochloric acid. The acidic filtrate was rendered alkaline with ammonium hydroxide and extracted with three successive portions (each 15 ml of chloroform). The chloroform extracts were evaporated till dryness and the residues were dissolved in 2 ml of diluted hydrochloric acid. When Dragendorff's reagent added to the residue solution, an orange precipitate was formed, which indicated the presence of alkaloids.

Evaluation in an experimental farm with natural mite infestation

Based on the laboratory assays, the acaricidal activity (observed high in laboratory tests) was evaluated under field conditions.

The field efficacy was carried out in organically a cultivated three-years-old (year from pruning) tea field, raised by seedlings with a spacing of 4×4 feet, located in Paralai estate, Valparai, Coimbatore Dist., Tamil Nadu, India. The infestation level of the red spider mite was calculated by randomly collecting 100 leaves in the field and the area was marked when the mite population was above the Economic Threshold Level (ETL) of four mites per leaf. Field records were checked to ensure there had not been any pesticide application for one month.

Randomised block designed (RBD) plots of six treatments and four replicates were laid out covering 50 bushes per plot. The plants such as *P. aquilinum* (T1) and *D. linearis* (T2), which showed higher mortality under laboratory conditions at 5% concentration were taken for the study and sprayed with

0.05% wetting agent (Triton X) for getting the sticky nature. The efficacy was compared with standard treatments, paraffinic oil (Tea spray oil) 0.34% (T3), NKAE (T4) (prepared as per standard procedure of UPASI Recommendation, 2010), Propargite 57 EC 0.12% (Omite) (T5), and water sprayed (T6) – negative control.

The mite population was calculated before spraying in each plot by randomly collecting 25 leaves and average mites per leaf were counted. Spraying was carried out twice after pretreatment at a weekly interval using a knapsack sprayer with a spray volume of $450 \, \text{l/ha}$ at a discharge rate of $450 \pm 20 \, \text{ml/minutes}$. The standards and spray volume were carried out as per recommendation for the management of *O. coffeae* in south Indian tea cultivation (Muraleedharan 1991). The field efficacy was observed for four weeks using the same sampling procedure.

The intrinsic population growth rate (ri) of mites was also calculated by using the formula of Stark and Banks (2003): ri = $\ln{(N_{\rm f}/N_{\rm i})}/\Delta t$; where: $N_{\rm f}$ – final number of live individuals in the sample; $N_{\rm i}$ – initial number of live individuals in the pretreatment; Δt – time (days) of observation after spraying.

The increase or decrease in ri of the mite population will show positive and negative values and zero refers to the stable population of mites during the observation period.

Statistical analysis of data. All the experiments were carried out statistically with randomised design. The adult mortality data was corrected with the control using Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925) in the laboratory bioassay and Henderson-Tilton's formula (Henderson & Tilton 1955) in the field evaluation. The results obtained in laboratory and field studies were statistically analysed by ANOVA and a post-hoc test was carried out by adopting Tukey's Multiple Range Comparison method (P < 0.05) to determine the significant difference between the treatments. All the analyses were performed in XLSTAT software package version 2015.

RESULTS

The aqueous extracts of ferns showed acaricidal and insecticidal activity at different concentrations and exposure times. In general, when comparing their bioefficacy, the fern extracts are 100 times more lethal to red spider mite, *O. coffeae*, than to tea mosquito bug.

Table 2. Efficacy of ferns against red spider mite, *O. coffeae* under laboratory condition (values are mentioned in mean \pm SE)

Plant extracts	Time			Mortality (%)		
r rant extracts	(h)	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}
Adiantum	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}
raddianum	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	8.0 ± 4.8^{d}	34.0 ± 2.4^{d}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	8.0 ± 4.8^{d}	34.0 ± 2.4^{d}
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}
Asplenium	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}
aethiopicum	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}	14.0 ± 4.0^{c}	18.0 ± 1.2^{c}	28.0 ± 2.0^{e}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	14.0 ± 4.0^{b}	22.0 ± 2.0^{c}	$28.0 \pm 2.0^{\rm d}$
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	$24.0 \pm 2.4^{\rm b}$
Cyclosorus	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	12.0 ± 1.4^{c}	50.0 ± 1.4^{c}
interruptus	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}	12.0 ± 2.0^{c}	20.0 ± 1.4^{c}	56.0 ± 1.4^{c}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	24.0 ± 2.0^{b}	38.0 ± 3.74^{b}	62.0 ± 5.8^{c}
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	64.0 ± 1.5^{a}
Dicranopteris	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	30.0 ± 2.4^{b}	$70.0 \pm 2.4^{\rm b}$
linearis	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	18.0 ± 1.4^{b}	22.0 ± 3.7^{b}	44.0 ± 1.2^{b}	72.0 ± 1.6^{b}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	24.0 ± 2.4^{a}	32.0 ± 3.7^{b}	58.0 ± 2.0^{a}	80.0 ± 3.16^{b}
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}
Diplazium	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{\rm d}$
polypodioides	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{\rm d}$	0.0 ± 0.0^{e}	0.0 ± 0.0^{f}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	$0.0\pm0.0^{\rm d}$	0.0 ± 0.0^{e}	24.0 ± 2.0^{d}
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	24.0 ± 1.4^{a}	40.0 ± 2.6^{a}	68.0 ± 1.4^{a}
Pteridium	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	28.0 ± 2.4^{a}	44.0 ± 1.8^{a}	86.0 ± 1.8^{a}
aquilinum	72	14.0 ± 1.2^{a}	24.0 ± 2.2^{a}	32.0 ± 1.6^{a}	58.0 ± 1.4^{a}	94.0 ± 2.4^{a}
	96	18.0 ± 2.8^{a}	26.0 ± 4.0^{a}	40.0 ± 4.4^{a}	60.0 ± 2.4^{a}	100.0 ± 0.0^{a}
	24	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}
Control	48	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{\rm d}$
– water sprayed	72	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{c}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	0.0 ± 0.0^{e}	0.0 ± 0.0^{f}
	96	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	0.0 ± 0.0^{d}	0.0 ± 0.0^{e}	0.0 ± 0.0^{e}
NT 1 1	24			60.0 ± 1.2		
Neem kernel	48			74.0 ± 1.2		
aqueous extract (NKAE) 5%	72			80.0 ± 1.4		
(1111111) 5/0	96			86.0 ± 2.4		
	24			64.0 ± 0.8		
Paraffinic oil	48			72.0 ± 1.4		
0.34%	72			80.0 ± 2.0		
	96			84.0 ± 2.2		
	24			100.0 ± 0.0		
Propargite	48			100.0 ± 0.0		
0.12%	72			100.0 ± 0.0		
	96			100.0 ± 0.0		

 $^{^{}a-f}$ mean followed by same alphabets are not differ significantly according to Turkey's Multiple Range comparison test (P < 0.05); comparison was carried out among the plant extracts corresponding to respective concentration and hours of observation with control

In red spider mite *O. coffeae*, *D. linearis*, and *P. aquilinum* showed acute toxicity compared to other plants at a 5% (w/v) concentration at the end of 96 h of

observation, which is shown in Table 2. We found that the efficacy rose significantly over time at a considerable rate. In case of *D. linearis* and *P. aqui*-

Table 3. Antifeedant activity against tea mosquito bug, Helopeltis theivora under laboratory condition

Dlant setus i	Time	Antifeedant index rate*					
Plant extracts	(h)	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	
A 1:t	24	-1.16 ± 1.79 ^a	3.57 ± 1.86 ^a	6.67 ± 1.54^{a}	10.15 ± 1.78 ^b	16.42 ± 1.09 ^b	
Adiantum raddianum	48	-1.92 ± 1.11	1.88 ± 0.7^{a}	2.85 ± 0.31^{a}	3.07 ± 0.5^{a}	5.15 ± 1.66^{b}	
raaaianum	72	0.2 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.1^{a}	1.0 ± 0.0^{a}	1.20 ± 0.5^{a}	1.2 ± 0.82^{b}	
4 1 .	24	-1.54 ± 1.86^{a}	0.14 ± 2.59^{a}	8.98 ± 1.46^{a}	14.06 ± 1.88^{ab}	18.59 ± 2.92^{b}	
Asplenium ae-	48	-1.07 ± 0.56^{a}	-0.37 ± 0.6^{a}	3.27 ± 1.7^{a}	6.4 ± 1.88^{a}	7.8 ± 1.2^{b}	
thiopicum	72	0.1 ± 0.07^{a}	-1.2 ± 0.6^{a}	0.5 ± 0.2^{a}	2.0 ± 0.26^{a}	2.0 ± 1.3^{b}	
G 1	24	5.14 ± 3.87^{a}	1.6 ± 1.52^{a}	12.92 ± 1.24^{a}	17.49 ± 1.85^{ab}	27.33 ± 2.37^{ab}	
Cyclosorus	48	-0.54 ± 1.4^{a}	0.9 ± 0.57^{a}	5.13 ± 0.2^{a}	5.95 ± 0.31^{a}	14.27 ± 1.46^{ab}	
interruptus	72	$-1.2.0 \pm 0.4^{a}$	0.01 ± 0.3^{a}	1.0 ± 0.05^{a}	2.0 ± 1.2^{a}	2.0 ± 1.7 b	
D	24	$-2,32 \pm 1.36^{a}$	5.16 ± 1.89^{a}	13.56 ± 1.19^{a}	20.19 ± 1.02^{ab}	34.13 ± 1.84^{a}	
Dicranopteris	48	-0.22 ± 1.04^{a}	2.31 ± 0.8^{a}	6.31 ± 0.6^{a}	8.85 ± 1.9^{a}	22.64 ± 0.52^{a}	
linearis	72	-0.1 ± 0.0^{a}	0.2 ± 0.01^{a}	1.01 ± 0.09^{a}	2.7 ± 1.2^{a}	8.0 ± 1.39^{a}	
D: 1 :	24	0.76 ± 1.57^{a}	0.46 ± 0.6^{a}	9.22 ± 1.0^{a}	13.78 ± 2.74^{ab}	18.59 ± 1.09^{b}	
Diplazium	48	-0.98 ± 0.2^{a}	-0.6 ± 0.2^{a}	4.05 ± 0.52^{a}	6.64 ± 0.99^{a}	7.62 ± 0.41^{b}	
polypodioides	72	-1.2 ± 0.4^{a}	0.02 ± 0.0^{a}	0.70 ± 0.02^{a}	0.09 ± 0.01^{a}	1.07 ± 0.09^{b}	
D	24	1.75 ± 0.75^{a}	6.85 ± 1.18^{a}	16.38 ± 1.72^{a}	25.57 ± 2.22^{a}	40.08 ± 1.14^{a}	
Pteridium	48	1.27 ± 0.38^{a}	5.29 ± 0.99^{a}	4.04 ± 0.24^{a}	12.04 ± 1.6^{a}	22.05 ± 1.18^{a}	
aquilinum	72	0.8 ± 0.12^{a}	1.4 ± 0.2^{a}	1.02 ± 0.09^{a}	2.08 ± 1.2^{a}	8.0 ± 1.6^{a}	
Quinalphos 0.229	% at 24 h			76.34 ± 1.07			

*antifeedant rate calculated based on the number of feeding punctures in leaf; values are mentioned in mean \pm SE; mean followed by same alphabets are not differ significantly according to Turkey's Multiple comparison test (P < 0.05)

linum for 24 h from application, the efficacy was 64 and 68%, respectively, at a 5% concentration, i.e. similar to the standards NKAE and paraffinic oil. The synthetic sulphite ester formulation Omite (propargite 0.57 g a.i./ml) showed 100% mortality at 24 h of observation. But on continuous observation (96 h) the efficacy for the two extracts was raised to maximum mortality of 100% in *P. aquilinum* and slightly lower to 80% in *D. linearis*. While the chronic toxicity of *C. interruptus* rose significantly from 24% (24 h) to 62% (96 h) at an increased exposure of the toxicant.

The insecticidal activity of the aqueous extracts of ferns was not reflected against *H. theivora* in the laboratory. The tea mosquito bug showed 100% survival rate against all the extracts evaluated and showed no mortality even upto 72 h of laboratory observation. They showed lower feeding activity to the extracts for 24 h (Table 3) and the punctures caused by them were comparatively less numerous than on water sprayed leaves. But they increased their feeding activity in consequent hours of observation. While the standard treatment Ekalux 0.22% showed 100% mortality after 24 hours.

As a preliminary study on their chemical constituents the ferns were also screened qualitatively for

their phytochemical properties which were found to be varied in saponins, tannins, and phenols (Table 4). The presence of major compounds such as alkaloids and saponins was varied among the plants causing acaricidal property. The extracts of *D. linearis*, *P. aquilinum*, and *C. interruptus* showed the increased intensity of saponins by forming dense froth compared to other plants in the qualitative test.

Based on the acaricidal action observed in a laboratory study, the field evaluation of *D. linearis* and *P. aquilinum* extracts under natural mite infestation caused a significant reduction in the mite population on par with NKAE and paraffinic oil (Table 5) and brought down the mite infestation level below ETL after the first

Table 4. Photochemical screening of aqueous fern extracts

Plants	Tannins	Phenols 2	Alkaloids	Saponins
Adiantum raddianum	+	+	_	+
Asplenium aethiopicum	+	+	_	+
Cyclosorus interruptus	+	+	+	+++
Dicranopteris linearis	+	+	+	+++
Diplazium polypodioides	+	+	+	+
Pteridium aquilinum	+	+	+	+++

⁺ presence; +++ high intensity froth formation; - absence

 -0.02 ± 0.01

Ξ

 -0.03 ± 0.01

 -0.02 ± 0.0

 -0.03 ± 0.0

 -0.09 ± 0.02

 0.35 ± 0.09^{e}

 -0.34 ± 0.01 0.0 ± 0.0

 0.11 ± 0.02^{d}

 -0.13 ± 0.0

 $1.19 \pm 0.31^{\rm e}$

 -0.33 ± 0.03

 $0.71\pm0.18^{\rm d}$

 6.64 ± 0.36^{a}

Propargite 0.12%

recom. dose)

Control

recom. dose)

(90.38)

(69.59)

(83.13)

(44.03)

(9.06)

(69.23)

(0.69)

(67.08)

 -0.01 ± 0.0

 6.53 ± 0.39^{a}

 7.19 ± 0.10^{a}

 0.01 ± 0.01

 7.31 ± 0.25^{a}

 0.02 ± 0.01

 6.88 ± 0.24^{a}

 6.19 ± 0.29

doi: 10.17221/23/2015-PPS

 $4.89 \pm 0.38^{\text{b}}$ $3.85 \pm 0.24^{\circ}$ $2.56 \pm 0.12^{\circ}$ IV week* (11.85) 4.83 ± 0.7^{1} (24.58)(12.93)After II spray -0.11 ± 0.02 -0.14 ± 0.02 -0.17 ± 0.02 -0.12 ± 0.01 ī. Table 5. Field bioefficacy of ferns against red spider mite, O. coffeae (values are in mean \pm SE from the values of three replicates) $2.08 \pm 0.24^{\rm cd}$ 2.59 ± 0.11^{bc} 2.93 ± 0.45^{b} 1.15 ± 0.17^{d} III week* (56.69)(64.39)(52.03) -0.04 ± 0.0 -0.01 ± 0.0 0.0 ± 0.0 -0.02 ± 0.0 ij 3.8 ± 0.15^{d} 5.57 ± 0.11^{c} 6.21 ± 0.18^{b} 6.08 ± 0.33^{1} II week* (6.037)(31.72)(14.92)After I spray -0.16 ± 0.02 -0.06 ± 0.00 -0.03 ± 0.01 -0.07 ± 0.01 Ξ 4.60 ± 0.16^{bc} 4.43 ± 0.20^{bc} 4.83 ± 0.26^{b} $2.16 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$ (28.64)(49.99)I week* (39.76) 6.39 ± 0.30^{a} 6.87 ± 0.24^{a} 6.09 ± 0.25^{a} 7.05 ± 0.33^{a} Pretreatment* Neem kernel aqueous extract Dicranopteris linearis 5% Pteridium aquilinum 5% Paraffinic oil 0.34% 5% (recom. dose) **Freatments**

average No. of mites per leaf; ri – Intrinsic growth rate of mite population; values in parenthesis indicates bioefficacy compared with control using Henderson-Tilton formula 1955); a-emean followed by same alphabets in superscript are not differ significantly according to Turkey's Multiple Comparison test (P < 0.05) spraying. They did not cause any phytotoxic symptoms by causing any injury on leaf surface, leaf tips, wilting, necrosis, vein clearing, epinasty, and hyponasty. After two continuous applications of extracts at a weekly interval, the mite population was reduced to 50% when compared with the unsprayed control. The bioefficacy increased by 20-30% after two sprays of plant extract.

DISCUSSION

Among various control measures to bring down the population of mites in a tea field 90% is achieved through chemicals only. In an organic tea field the spraying of inorganic acaricides (lime sulphur and paraffinic oil) and botanical extract (NKAE) is recommended to avoid a major economic loss. Availability of few chemicals and botanicals for recommendation in organic fields causes negative consequences on non-target organisms and also involves development of resistance against them. It can be largely eliminated by search for new botanicals with a potential for the development of botanical acaricides in tea fields (PAVELA 2015). The ferns P. aquilinum and D. linearis dominate with 70% among various ferns in tea fields of Valparai. In our present study, the bioefficacy of aqueous extracts of ferns on their acaricidal and insecticidal property was clearly proved for the first time against *O. coffeae*. In earlier studies on using botanicals against RSM, ROOBAKKUMAR et al. (2010) observed 100% mortality at 96 h after the spray of Pongam oil at a 5% concentration; the aqueous leaf extracts of Morinda tinctoria (Roxb) and Pongamia glabra (Vent) (VASANTHAKUMAR et al. 2013) and dry pericarp of the fruits of Terminalia chebula (Roy et al. 2014) caused ovipositional deterrence and 100% adult mortality at a similar concentration. The acaricidal effect of ferns observed in the present study was similar to those reports. The extracts of *D. linearis* and *P. aquilinum* cause 20-100 times higher acaricidal property compared to insecticidal property. The toxicity increases with an increase in time. The exposure of the pest to the treated plant for a long period may then enhance its biological efficacy because some plant constituents may exhibit chronic toxicity (PAVELA 2015). Apart from causing adult mortality, they also exhibit antifeedant activity in insects at a minimal level. The ferns would constitute a better resourceful weed in organic tea gardens where there is lacuna in alternative strategies to chemicals. Due to the cost and

availability of neem kernels the locally available plants can substitute for one or two rounds of the mite control programme.

The relative toxicity of ferns against mites and insects might be attributed to the difference in anatomy and sclerotisation of two different taxa, detoxification mechanism in which the tea mosquito bug was reported earlier on the presence of detoxifying enzymes in their saliva. They form the first resisting barrier against any xenobiotics. Among many angiosperms evaluated against *H. theivora* in the course of time, only few were reported to cause antifeedant property. Dolul and Debnath (2010) observed a good antifeedant effect from the methanolic extracts of *Heliotropium indicum* flowers; the aqueous extracts of *Acorus calamus* caused a less than 50% reduction in their field infestation (Prabhakaran & Radhakrishnan 2014).

Most of the botanicals showed good contact and fumigant toxicity against biting and aquatic insects. Even in lepidopteran pests of tea the oils of Ocimum basilicum, Rosmarinus officinalis, Cinnamomum zeylanicum, Cuminum cyminum, and Pelargonium graveolens displayed strongest repellency against the male and female adults of Ectropis obliqua (ZHENGQUN et al. 2014). In some other insect species such as house fly Musca domestica adults and mosquito Aedes albopictus the methanol extracts of five fern species, Cupressus funebris (leaves and stems), Cycas acuminatissima (roots), Keteleeria fortunei (leaves and stems), Onychium japonicum (whole plant), and Pinus taiwanensis var. Daming shanensis (leaves and stems) showed more than 50% mortality under laboratory conditions (HUANG et al. 2010). Moreover, H. theivora is a solitary, winged insect and feeds on the leaves by sucking with its well-adapted rostrum rather than the colonial and apterous form of mites that feeds by scrapping and sucking. The mites have a sufficient chance to get contact with sprayed extracts. The synthetic chemicals widely recommended to control tea mosquito are of stomach poison and systemic action in nature. The fern extracts have failed in that property and are not able to cause adult mortality in H. theivora which would make the field evaluation as eccentric.

Even though the efficacy observed in ferns was comparable with standard chemicals in both red spider mite and tea mosquito bug, their acute toxicity was comparable with NKAE and paraffinic oil. The former create an oily coating on the leaf and control the mite population by disrupting the respiratory mechanism and the latter cause disruption in moulting and ovi-

position of the mite. It also suggests that propargite showed far more superior to aqueous extracts and its potential effect is known in controlling the pest population by its contact toxicity. The selection of these standards is due to their widespread usage in south Indian tea plantations. Moreover, using the same positive control facilitates the comparison of the data and evaluation of the potential of new products (ISMAN & GRIENEISEN 2014; ZANARDI *et al.* 2015).

The plant extracts/bioproducts exhibit reduced bioefficacy under field conditions, which was not similar to the laboratory assay. It happens due to the photodegradation of active compounds by visible or UV or IR rays from sunlight or any other agroclimatic conditions. The findings are coherent with Sarmah et al. (2009), who observed 40% lower efficacy in field than in vitro study against O. coffeae on spraying the aqueous extracts of Acorus calamus (L.), Xanthium strumarium (L.), Polygonum hydropiper (L.), and Clerodendron infortunatum (Gaertn). A similar response was observed against various mite species under in vivo conditions (Ho-SAMANI et al. 2007; Anita 2010; Hossain et al. 2013; Qayyoum et al. 2013; Tehri & Gulati 2014). The above-mentioned factors corroborate the low persistence of fern extracts in our study. Even though the bracken fern P. aquilinum proved its carcinogenic compound ptaquiloside (Gomes et al. 2011), it is used in traditional medicine in many countries of the world for its substantial antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, and cytotoxic properties (WINK 2015); it was also evaluated for its insecticidal activity by various authors. JBILOU et al. (2008) reported 66% mortality in *Tribolium castaneum* caused by P. aquilinum. Sahayaraj and Selvaraj (2013) observed the field efficacy of three fern extracts in the order Pteridium aquilinum > H. arifolia > C. parasitica against Helicoverpa arrnigera (Hubner) and Spodoptera litura (Fab.) infesting groundnut. Moreover, the DNA damage caused by P. aquilinum was proved at a higher concentration of 40 mg/ml in rats (Gomes et al. 2011); while the concentration evaluated in our study was at a lower level. On comparing with standard treatments, D. linearis and P. aquilinum require continuous application at a seven-day interval for better control. This property will help to avoid any residues during harvest or in made tea and can be utilised with a reduced safe harvest interval. It also reduces the possibility of resistance evolution in arthropods (Georghiou & Taylor 1977) and reduces any harmful effect on naturally available

predators in the field due to their short action period, which helps to build up ecological equilibrium in the agroecosystem (ZANARDI *et al.* 2015).

Screening of major phytochemicals in the aqueous extracts of ferns showed the presence of saponins that varied among them and which were higher in intensity in D. linearis and P. aquilinum. It indicates that the mortality of O. coffeae was due to the sensitivity of mites to saponins. The group of heteroside compounds exhibits steroidal structure and possesses a defensive role (Appelbaum 1969) and is able to interrupt larval development (ISHAAYA et al. 1969). They inhibit digestive proteases by easily dissolving in water and form a foaming solution by their tension activity (CHAIEB 2010). The sensitivity of RSM to ferns was higher than that of tea mosquito bug. It was proved by Oleszek et al. (1999), who observed a reduction in the survival rate of Tetranychus urticae mite by 85% on the spray of aqueous solution of lucerne saponins 0.1-0.2%. The saponins of lucerne also caused mortalities on eggs of T. urticae. The most commonly observed effects of saponins are increased mortality, lowered food intake, weight reduction, retardation in development, and decreased reproduction (DE GEYTER et al. 2007). They have the ability to dehydrate the insect tissues in gut, which was reflected in the antifeeding property of H. theivora. Even though saponins were represented in *C. interruptus* during the qualitative test, they caused lower mortality than D. linearis and P. aquilinum. Thus it indicates that some other metabolites or difference in the chemical nature of saponins among ferns might be responsible for its acute and chronic toxicity.

The ferns used in this study were reported for their various medicinal properties such as respiratory problems, hair growth, vermicide, rheumatism, gynaecology, etc. and also as a source of phytoecdysteroids. These phytoecdysteroids provide them the defensive nature to insect attack by disrupting their moulting (GALBRAITH & HORN 1966). AINGE and LORIMER (2002) isolated the alkaloid huperzine A from Lycopodium varium as a major antifeedant and insecticidal component and showed mortality in carpet beetle, Anthrenocerus australis at 110 ppm. Many insecticidal lectins have been isolated from ferns. The enzyme thiaminase derived from ferns and moss has been demonstrated for insect resistance activity. Thiaminase exhibited deterrence in feeding on sprayed plants against southern armyworm Nephrolepis exaltata (HENDRIX 1977). The crude protein extracts of several ferns and mosses caused 70-100% mortality of Spodoptera frugiperda and Helicoverpa zea (MARKHAM et al. 2006). Many insect resistant secondary metabolites such as ferulic acid, hydrolysable tannins, terpenes, and alkaloids (SCHAUFEL-BERGER & HOSTETTMANN 1983; ASAKAWA 1990) and ecdysones (Jones & Firn 1978; Lafont & Horn 1989) were reported in them. These properties of the ferns D. linearis and P. aquilinum have constituted them to cause acaricidal property, which would be the first report against O. coffeae. The adult mortality is due to interference with ecdysteroid metabolism and inhibition of ecdysis triggering hormones (ETH) (HINCAPIE et al. 2011) and by their intrinsic chemical constituents. These variations in metabolites of P. aquilinium, D. linearis, and C. interruptus caused considerable acute toxicity in O. coffeae.

CONCLUSION

In view of agronomic efficiency in the tea plantation pest and weed control there are two major constraints. In mitigating these, weeds can be used for the pest and disease control to some extent. The present study clearly states that the species such as *D. linearis* and P. aquilinum possess acaricidal and insect repellent activity when applied at a concentration of 5%. Emphasis can be laid on utilising these extracts for further studies in exploring their chemical compounds for the development of new botanical acaricides. This would offer safe and effective alternative strategies to synthetic chemicals for the pest control programme in organic tea fields. On utilising these plants, recycling of weeds would achieve for the small farm holders having limited resources for pest management. Such knowledge throws light on using weeds as botanical insecticides by conserving the pesticide load in tea fields and utilising naturally available resources.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Dr. V. IRUDAYARAJ for support in the identification of ferns.

References

Abbott W.S. (1925): A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 18: 265–267.

Ainge D., Lorimer S.D. (2002): Insecticidal activity of Huperzine A from the New Zealand clubmoss, *Lycopodium varium*. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50: 491–494.

- Amer A., Mehlhorn H. (2006): Larvicidal effects of various essential oils against *Aedes, Anopheles*, and *Culex* larvae (Diptera, Culicidae). Parasitology Research, 99: 466–472.
- Anita M. (2010): Feeding potential of *Tyrophagus putres-centiae* Schrank (Acari: Acaridae) and its management. [M.Sc.Thesis.] Hisar, CCSHAU.
- Appelbaum S.W., Marco S., Birk Y. (1969): Saponins as possible factor of resistance of legume seeds to the attack of insects. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 17: 618–622.
- Asakawa Y. (1990): Biologically active substances from bryophytes. In: Chopra R., Satish B. (eds): Bryophyte Development: Physiology and Biochemisrty. Boston, London, CRC: 259–287.
- Chaieb I. (2010): Saponins as insecticides: a review. Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection, 5: 39–50.
- Chen Z.M., Chen X.F. (1989): An analysis of world tea pest fauna. Journal of Tea Science, 9: 73–88.
- Cooper-Driver G.A. (1978): Insect-fern associations. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 24: 310–316.
- De Geyter E., Geelen D., Smagghe G. (2007): First results on the insecticidal action of saponins. Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences, 72: 645–648.
- Dolul A.K., Debnath M. (2010): Antifeedant activity of plant extracts to an insect *Helopeltis theivora*. Journal of Environment Biology, 31: 557–559.
- Ebeling W., Pence R.J. (1953): Pesticide formulation, influence of formulation on effectiveness. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 1: 386–397.
- Galbraith M.N., Horn D.H.S. (1966): An insect-moulting hormone from a plant. Chemical Communications, 905–906.
- Georghiou G.P., Taylor C.F. (1977): Operational influences in the evolution of insecticide resistance. Journal of Economic Entomology, 70: 653–658.
- Gomes J., Magalhaes A., Michel V., Amado I., Aranha P., Ovesen R.G., Hansen H.C., Gärtner F., Reis C.A., Touati E. (2011): *Pteridium aquilinum* and its ptaquiloside toxin induce DNA damage response in gastric epithelial cells, a link with gastric carcinogenesis. Toxicological Sciences, 126: 60–71.
- Harborne J.B. (1973): Phytochemical Methods. London, Chapman and Hall Ltd.
- Hazarika L.K., Bhuyan N.C., Hazarika B.N. (2009): Insect pests of tea and their management. Annual Review of Entomology, 54: 267–284.
- Hendrix S.D. (1977): The resistance of *Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn to insect attack by *Trichoplusia ni* (Hübn.). Oecologia, 26: 347–361.

- Henderson C.F., Tilton W. (1955): Tests with Acaricides against the brown wheat mite. Journal of Economic Entomology, 48: 157–161.
- Hincapie C.A., Monsalve Z., Parada K., Lamilla C., Alarcon J., Cespedes C.L., Seigler D. (2011): Insect growth regulatory activity of *Blechnum chilense*. Natural Product Communications, 6: 1085–1088.
- Hossain M.D., Yasmin S., Latif M.A., Akhter N. (2013): Effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and other plant extracts on yellow mite of jute. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 4: 412–417.
- Hosamani R.K., Gulati R., Sharma S.K., Kumar R. (2007): Efficacy of some botanicals against *Tropilaelaps clareae* in *Apis mellifera* L. colonies. Systematic and Applied Acarology, 12: 99–108.
- Hunag S., Zhang Z., Li Y., Lai Y., Xu H. (2010): Anti-insect activity of the methanol extracts of fern and gymnosperm. Agricultural Sciences in China, 9: 249–256.
- Ishaaya I., Birk Y., Bondi A., Tencer Y. (1969): Soybean saponins IX. Studies of their effect on birds, mammals and cold blooded organisms. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 20: 433–436.
- Isman M.B. (2000): Plant essential oils for pest and disease management. Crop Protection, 19: 603–608.
- Isman M.B., Koul O., Luczynski A., Kaminski A. (1990): Insecticidal and antifeedant bioactivities of neem oils and their relationship to azadirachtin content. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 38: 1406–1411.
- Isman M.B., Grieneisen M.L. (2014): Botanical insecticide research: many publications, limited useful data. Trends in Plant Science, 19: 140–145.
- Jeppson L.R., Keifer H.H., Baker E.W. (1975): Mites Injurious to Economic Plants. Berkeley, University of California
- Jbilou R., Amri H., Bouayad N., Ghailani N., Ennabili, A., Sayah F. (2008): Insecticidal effects of extracts of seven plant species on larval development, α-amylase activity and offspring production of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Insecta: Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Bioresource Technology, 99: 959–964.
- Jones C.G., Firn R.D. (1978): The role of phytoecdysteroids in Bracken fern, *Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) KUHN as a defense against phytophagous insect attack. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 4: 117–138.
- Kubo I., Klocke J.A., Asano S. (1983): Effects of ingested phytoecdysteroids on the growth and development of two lepidopterous larvae. Journal of Insect Physiology, 29: 307–311, 313–316.
- Lafont R., Horn D.H.S. (1989): Phytoecdysteroids: structure and occurrence. In: Koolman J. (ed.): Ecdysone: from Chemistry to Mode of Action. Stuttgart, Thieme: 39–64.

- Markham K., Chalk T., Stewart N. (2006): Evaluation of fern and moss protein-based defenses against phytophagous insects. International Journal of Plant Science, 167: 111–117.
- Muraleedharan N. (1991): Pest Management in Tea. The United Planters Association of Southern India, Tea Research Institute, Valparai, Coimbatore, India.
- Muraleedharan N., Selvasundaram R., Radhakrishnan B. (2001): Parasitoids and predators of tea pests in India. Journal of Plantation Crops, 29 (2): 1–10.
- Oleszek W.A., Hoagland R., Zablotowicz E. (1999): Ecological significance of plant saponins. In: Dakshini K.M.M., Foy C.L. (eds): Principles and Practices in Plant Ecology Allelochemical Interactions. CRC Press: 451–465.
- Pavela R. (2015): Acaricidal properties of extracts and major furano chromenes from the seeds of *Ammi visnaga* Linn. against *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. Industrial Crops and Products, 67: 108–113.
- Prabhakaran P., Radhakrishnan B. (2014): Field efficacy of certain plant extracts against tea mosquito bug, *Helopeltis theivora* Waterhouse. Newsletter UPASI Tea Research Foundation, 24: 5.
- Qayyoum M., Khan B.S., Bashir M.H. (2013): Efficacy of plant extracts against honey bee mite, *Varroa destructor* (Acari: Varroidae). World Journal of Zoology, 8: 212–216.
- Radhakrishnan B. (2004) Seasonal abundance of red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* (Nietner) and the crop loss caused by it in tea. Journal of Plantation Crops, 32 (Suppl.): 354–356.
- Rajendran R., Reuben R. (1991): Evaluation of the water fern *Azolla microphylla* for mosquito population management in the rice-land agro-ecosystem of south India. Medical Veterinary Entomology, 5: 2993–310.
- Roobakkumar A., Subramaniam M.S.R., Babu A., Muraleedharan N. (2010): Bioefficacy of certain plant extracts against the red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* (Nietner) (Acarina: Tetranychidae) infesting tea in Tamil Nadu, India. International Journal of Acarology, 36: 255–258.
- Roy S., Mukhopadhyaya A. (2012): Bioefficacy assessment of *Melia azedarach* (L.) seed extract on tea red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* (Nietner) (Acari: Tetranychidae). International Journal of Acarology, 38: 79–86.
- Roy S., Rahman A., Phukan A.K., Muraleedharan N. (2014): *Terminalia chebula* Retz. (Combretaceae): source of a botanical acaricide against *Oligonychus coffeae* Nietner (Acarina: Tetranychidae). International Journal of Acarology, 40: 138–144.
- Sahayaraj K., Selvaraj P. (2013): Field evaluation of three fern extracts on *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and

- *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) incidence and infestation and groundnut production. Legume Research, 36: 86.
- Sarmah M., Rahman A., Phukan A.K., Gurusubramanian G. (2009): Effect of aqueous plant extracts on tea red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae*, Nietner (Tetranychidae: Acarina) and *Stethorus gilvifrons* Mulsant. African Journal of Biotechnology, 8: 417–423.
- Schaufelberger D., Hostettmann K. (1983): On the molluscicidal activity of tannin containing plants. Planta Medica, 48: 105–107.
- Shukla S., Tiwari S.K. (2011): Toxicological effects of *Dryopteris filix-mas* against the ontogeny of rice-moth, *Corcyra cephalonica* (Staint.). World Applied Science Journal, 12: 16–20.
- Siegler S. (1947): Leaf disc techniques for laboratory tests of acaricides. Journal of Economic Entomology, 40: 441–442.
- Stark J.D., Banks J.E. (2003): Population level effects of pesticides and other toxicants on arthropods. Annual Review of Entomology, 48: 505–519.
- Tehri K., Gulati R. (2014): Field efficacy of some biorationals against the two spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). Journal of Applied and Natural Science, 6: 62–67.
- Trease G.E., Evans W.C. (1989): Pharmacognosy. 11th Ed. London, Macmillan Publishers.
- Vasanthakumar D., Roobakkumar A., Subramaniam M.S.R., Kumar P., Sundaravadivelan C., Babu A. (2013): Evaluation of certain leaf extracts against red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* Nietner (Acarina: Tetranychidae) infesting tea. International journal of Acarology, 38: 135–137.
- Wink M. (2015): Modes of action of herbal medicines and plant secondary metabolites. Medicines, 2: 251–286.
- Ye G.Y., Xiao Q., Chen M., Chen X.X., Yuan Z., Stanley D.W., Hu C. (2014): Tea: biological control of insect and mite pests in China. Biological Control, 68: 73–91.
- Zhengqun Z., Xiaoling S., Zongxiu L., Yu G., Lei B., Zhaojun X., Xiaoming C., Zongmao C. (2014): Effect of 14 plant essential oils on the behavior of *Ectropis obliqua* (Prout). Journal of Tea Science, 24: 489 –496.
- Zanardi O.Z., Ribeiro L.D., Ansante T.F., Santos M.S., Bordini G.P., Yamamoto P.T., Vendramim J.D. (2015): Bioactivity of a matrine-based biopesticide against four pest species of agricultural importance. Crop Protection, 67: 160–167.

Received: 2015–04–08 Accepted after corrections: 2017–03–11 Published online: 2017–07–12